Panel 3: Interim Charge #8
Senate Health and Human Services Committee
February 24, 2010

Presentation by Dawn Richardson
Parents Requesting Open Vaccine Education
http://vaccineinfo.net

Charge #8 - Study the state's ability to appropriately respond to the H1N1 influenza pandemic by examining issues related to vaccine distribution and capacity. Consider the benefit of providing the state’s independent school districts and various health authorities with standardized protocols for issues including, but not limited to, vaccine administration, absenteeism and the cancellation of school and other school-related events. Assess the state’s ability to track and record H1N1 vaccinations through the ImmTrac registry, and review statutes governing ImmTrac to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of immunization information systems.
Issues Related to H1N1 Vaccine Distribution and Capacity

• Delay: Difficulty getting vaccine in the beginning

• Oversupply: Huge waste with unused H1N1 vaccine
  – “In just a couple months, the availability of the H1N1 vaccine has gone from scarce to excess. That prompts another question: what to do with unused vaccine as it reaches its expiration dates?”
  – Texas received almost 693,000 doses from Sanofi Pasteur that have already expired.
  – San Antonio is shipping excess to Haiti

Issues Related to H1N1 Vaccine Distribution and Capacity

• Very Low Demand:
  Only 2% of Texans received H1N1 Vaccine in 2009
  – 24,326,974 Texans
  – 10,500,000 doses allocated to Texas [http://texasflu.org](http://texasflu.org)

• Some Texas health care workers are forced to resign or are fired for not wanting to take H1N1 vaccine [http://www.statesman.com/news/local/nurse-fired-over-refusal-to-get-flu-shot-168154.html](http://www.statesman.com/news/local/nurse-fired-over-refusal-to-get-flu-shot-168154.html)
  – Labor code amendment needed to prevent job discrimination on vaccination status [http://vaccineinfo.net](http://vaccineinfo.net)
Issues Related to H1N1 Vaccine Distribution and Capacity

• Recommendation: To prevent so much waste and save money, the registry should be adapted to better gauge demand and distribution for state vaccine orders
  – Use data showing registry participants’ past vaccine use to better estimate future demand
  – Patients at vaccine administration sites can use the registry to “pre-order” vaccines they want so Texas doesn’t end up disposing millions of unwanted and unused shots

http://vaccineinfo.net
On November 13th at 10 AM, I received the H1N1 vaccine mandated by my employer. Less than an hour later is when it all began. I was lethargic, uncoordinated, confused, and finally sent home from my job as an operating room nurse. Not only did I sleep the whole weekend, I arrived back to work on Monday with the exact same symptoms and was immediately sent home, only I don’t recall even driving home. The next day, I was taken to the emergency room and admitted to ICU with stroke-like symptoms. I was transferred to another facility for more advanced care after an ICU physician told my family that there had been cases of encephalitis reported after getting the H1N1 vaccine. After an exhaustive attempt at several diagnoses (none of which were conclusive) I was sent home 7 days later with residual left sided weakness. The following morning, I had a 15 minute witnessed partial seizure at home with an ensuing 14 hour postictal period. Again, I was sent by ambulance to the hospital for another 3 day stay with the final diagnosis being new onset seizures.

Two Fridays ago, I had a seizure at work after being called in at night. Since then, I was all but accused of being on drugs at work. A drug-test came up negative, yet I was suspended with the threat of termination and notice that this could impact my nursing license. I had no alternative but to resign from the place I worked for 10 years. Ten years, without a single incident, and I was dismissed, just like that. Next week, I’m being re-admitted to the hospital for EEG monitoring and to have a seizure induced. This isn’t what I had planned. I didn’t really want the vaccine. I wasn’t sure it was safe, but it was mandated by my employer who has already fired other employees who have refused to take the vaccine or wear a mask.

Mine is but one story out of thousands. People are getting this vaccine and getting sick, the very thing vaccines are supposed to prevent. I would have rather taken my chances making my OWN healthcare decisions instead of having them made for me with the end result being a health condition that has changed my entire life and turned it upside down. And in the end, I’ll be glad to get fired….if I don’t have to take one more shot.

Kelly, Registered Nurse

Dawn, you have my authorization to use this statement in any way you see fit. I hope it helps, even if it’s just a little…even if ONE person drops their mouth open when they hear this.
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Vaccine Administration in Schools is Problematic

• Kids vaccinated in schools without and against parental consent
  – Ohio Boy Given H1N1 Vaccine Against Mom's Wishes
    http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,570214,00.html
  – In Misstep, Schools Vaccinate 2 Without Parental Consent

• Quality Control Issues
  – Massachusetts teachers sickened due to H1N1 Vaccine mix-up in school vaccine clinic (given insulin by mistake)
    http://www.theswellesleyreport.com/2010/01/schofield-teachers-sickened-due-to-h1n1-vaccine-mixup/
Vaccine Administration in Schools is Problematic

• Schools aren’t kids’ doctors – they don’t know reaction or allergy history of students
• School clinics can be disruptive to the educational process
• Schools are for educators, not vaccinators
• Liability issues for school and state (failure to recognize a vaccine reaction and provide medical help)
Review of Statutes Governing ImmTrac to Increase Effectiveness and Efficiency
Trust Issues

• “IIS are successful only to the extent that they maintain the trust of participants”

• Data breeches are a huge problem in this country
  – According to Privacy Rights Clearinghouse, since January 2005, the U.S. has experienced 261,441,493 breaches (as of May 4, 2009): [http://www.privacyrights.org/ar/ChronDataBreaches.htm#CP](http://www.privacyrights.org/ar/ChronDataBreaches.htm#CP)
  – 12 percent of data breaches occurred with medical organizations [http://forhealthfreedom.org/Newsletter/May2009.html#Article1](http://forhealthfreedom.org/Newsletter/May2009.html#Article1)
  – PROVE has been contacted many times by parents who are harassed by the registry who never gave consent and are against being included
  – Opting out is a euphemism for data breeching

[http://vaccineinfo.net](http://vaccineinfo.net)
February 23, 2010

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is Kimberly Lloyd and I would like to go on record as being against changing the Texas Immunization Registry from opt in to opt out. As a parent, I know my rights regarding Immtrac. I actually worked as an aide for a state representative years ago when issues with Immtrac were brought out. More recently, I have worked at a pediatric doctor’s office where we are required by law to receive written consent from a parent in order to enter their child's immunizations into the registry.

However, knowing my rights and actually declining consent two times were not enough to keep my son out of Immtrac. I found out he was in it by receiving not one but two letters from the Hays County Personal Health Department stating that they did not have all of my son's immunizations in their record and would I please help them resolve this matter. Needless to say, I was more than a little shocked and angry to find out that my child had been put into the registry illegally. Furthermore, nothing on the letter stated anything about it being an opt in program, and nothing stated how I could remove my child if he had been put in the registry by mistake. It actually took really looking through the Immtrac website before I was able to find anything about removing my child.

In conclusion, I believe that parent’s rights should be respected and in this case it means following the law as it has been written. Based on my case alone, it is obvious that the Health Department is not doing their job properly. My concern in not only for my own children but for other Texas families, who do not know what their rights are, who are put into Immtrac without their consent. I strongly believe that changing it to an opt out program would only create more chaos and confusion.

Respectfully,

Kimberly Lloyd
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Trust Issues

• Incomplete vaccination records cause punitive actions
  – Parents being thrown out of pediatric doctor offices
  – Insurance coverage being denied
  – Kids with legal exemptions still harassed and bullied at school

• PROVE has been contacted parents who got their child H1N1 vaccine who did not want to be in the registry and are angry that they were put in automatically and can’t get out for 5 years

• Overarching concerns that efforts to expand registry into a government electronic medical records database will lead to tighter government control of private medicine – the debate consuming Congress right now
Trust Issues

• Health Department causes repeated breeches of public trust
  – Caught initiating registry years prior to legislative authority given in 1997
  – Caught Populating Registry with non-consented records against law (HB 3054) (we even found a record for my child)
  – Caught warehousing unconsented baby DNA from Newborn Screen and Sued
    Parents Sue Texas Health Dept. and Texas A&M over Infant Blood Databank
    http://www.texascivilrightsproject.org/?p=1096
  – Texas Tribune catches Health Department sending unconsented baby DNA to Military
Recommendation

• Tighter legislative controls are needed on agency behavior regarding consent, privacy and use of health records
Registry Consent

• Even CDC and NVAC Committee Say Parents Should Choose Participation
  – “It is essential that once a parent or guardian has been notified of the existence of the registry, he or she has the ability to choose whether or not to participate in the registry. NVAC specifically recommends that parents must be given the option to decide whether or not their children will participate in a registry.”

Methods Used for Obtaining Registry Consent

• From registry inception 1997 until 2005 (HB 3054):
  – on the vaccine information statement at the vaccine provider
  – on the birth certificate application form

• From 2005 until present (HB 1921):
  – Birth certificate application process
  – Only for a new person who never gave registry consent at birth, the vaccine provider would be contacted by the health department to figure out if the person wants in
  – Parent can send in consent

http://vaccineinfo.net
Consent Guidelines for Providers

CONSENT GUIDELINES for PROVIDERS

WHEN to Obtain Parental Consent for ImmTrac Participation
Providers need ONLY obtain written parental consent for Registry participation IF the child is not already enrolled in ImmTrac. Performing a “Smart Search” in ImmTrac will help determine if the child is currently participating in ImmTrac.

- Parental consent for Registry participation is required only **one time** and is valid until the child reaches 18 years of age or consent is withdrawn by the parent, legal guardian or managing conservator.

**NOTE:**
* A majority of Texas newborns are enrolled in ImmTrac during the birth registration process.*

http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/immunize/docs/consent_guidelines.pdf

Highlights: Health department secures consent from majority of newborns at birth so providers only check consent on new vaccinations if the health department can’t find a child already in ImmTrac, and consent only has to be gotten once!
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How Records and Consent Flow

- Consent collected at birth and registry file opened on child
- Registry education is in the paperwork
Identifying Consent Preferences at Birth Very Successful

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>TER Hospital Code</th>
<th>SFY 2009 Perform Rate</th>
<th>SFY 2008 Total Births</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PALESTINE REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER</td>
<td>PALESTINE</td>
<td>ANDERSON</td>
<td>0382</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERMAN MEDICAL CENTER</td>
<td>ANDREWS</td>
<td>ANDREWS</td>
<td>0116</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER OF EAST TEXAS</td>
<td>LUFKIN</td>
<td>ANGELINA</td>
<td>0261</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODLAND HEIGHTS MEDICAL CENTER</td>
<td>LUFKIN</td>
<td>ANGELINA</td>
<td>0303</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH TEXAS REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER</td>
<td>SEYMOUR</td>
<td>BAYLOR</td>
<td>0332</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEYMOUR HOSPITAL</td>
<td>BEEVILLE</td>
<td>BEE</td>
<td>0255</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTUS SPOHN HOSPITAL BEEVILLE</td>
<td>FORT HOOD</td>
<td>BELL</td>
<td>0476</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DARNALL ARMY COMMUNITY HOSPITAL</td>
<td>KILLEEN</td>
<td>BELL</td>
<td>0115</td>
<td>97.1%</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KING'S DAUGHTERS HOSPITAL</td>
<td>TEMPLE</td>
<td>BELL</td>
<td>0236</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METROPOLITAN MEMORIAL HOSPITAL</td>
<td>TEMPLE</td>
<td>BELL</td>
<td>0035</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>2068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTUS SANTA ROSA HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0071</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
<td>1513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTUS SANTA ROSA HOSPITAL - WESTOVER HILLS</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0032</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METHODIST HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0072</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>1573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METHODIST STONE OAK</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0073</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>2711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METROPOLITAN METHODIST HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0036</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>2711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIX HEALTH CARE SYSTEM</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0234</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH CENTRAL BAPTIST HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0079</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTHWEST BAPTIST HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0074</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>1573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTHEAST BAPTIST HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0053</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTHWEST GENERAL HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0139</td>
<td>99.5%</td>
<td>1552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST LUKES BAPTIST HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0318</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>2355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL</td>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0103</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>2157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILFORD HALL MEDICAL CENTER</td>
<td>CLIFTON AIR FORCE BASE</td>
<td>BEXAR</td>
<td>0461</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>1429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOODALL-WITCHER HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION</td>
<td>TEXARKANA</td>
<td>BOISDUE</td>
<td>0046</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTUS ST. MICHAEL HEALTH SYSTEM</td>
<td>TEXARKANA</td>
<td>BOWIE</td>
<td>0361</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>1047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WADLEY REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER</td>
<td>TEXARKANA</td>
<td>BOWIE</td>
<td>0033</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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How Records and Consent Flow

- Consent collected at birth and registry file opened on child
- Providers and Payers just dump all records regardless of consent
- Health department keeps consented records and only tries to get provider to verify consent if there is no previous record in ImmTrac

http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/immunize/docs/Payors/ImmTrac_QA.pdf
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Which Way is it Dr. Boom?

You Asked For These Changes in HB 1921

Now You Don’t Like Them?

The Cost of Consent: Can we afford it? Policy considerations based on the cost analysis of an "opt-in" consent system for Immunization Information System (IIS) participation

Anna C. Dragsbaek¹, Leila C. Sahni¹, Luisa Franzini², and Julie A. Boom³. (1) Immunization Project, Texas Children’s Hospital, 6621 Fannin FC240, Houston, TX, USA, (2) Management, Policy, and Community Health, The University of Texas School of Public Health, 1200 Herman Pressler, Houston, TX, USA, (3) Academic General Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, 1 Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX, USA

Background:
Currently, Texas law requires consent from parents for children to participate in the statewide IIS, ImmTrac. In addition, a law passed in 2005 now requires the State to verify and maintain proof of consent. Texas Children’s Hospital, supported by other statewide stakeholders, led an effort to quantify the cost of consent to the public and private sectors in Texas.

Results/Lessons Learned:
Obtaining and verifying consent on 96% of the population is expensive and leads to a less robust database. State law and administrative procedures should be amended to eliminate the consent process and institute a voluntary exclusion system.
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Contrary to Assertion by TCH, Opt-In Promotes Registry Participation and Texas Beats US Averages

- Many of states have opt-out registries yet Texas is a leader in our country at honoring consent and still significantly outperforms the national participation statistics in vaccine tracking registries in all age groups under 18
- When people know about a system and opt-into it, they are more likely to continue to participate than if they are duped into it and have to opt-out

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunization Information Systems</th>
<th>Age Groups Participating in an IIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation of U.S. children &lt; 6 years in an IIS with 2 or more immunizations.</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation of U.S. children 19-35 months in an IIS with 2 or more immunizations.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation of U.S. adolescents 11-18 years in an IIS with 2 or more immunizations.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation of U.S. adults &gt;19 years in an IIS with 1 or more immunizations.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2007 Immunization Information System Annual Report
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Why Opt-Out is Wrong

• The current opt-in process requires tracking only of those who ask and want to be tracked

• Changing to an opt-out process requires tracking of everyone including those who don’t want to be tracked
  – In order for registry records to be deleted, the health department has to keep an alternative database of registry “non-consenters” so they know whose vaccination records to delete
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Why Opt-Out is Wrong

“In the state of Texas, we have a longstanding history of honoring parental rights. We support homeschooling, we support parents being in control of the medical decisions of their children, and we support medical privacy. The legislature has debated opt-in consent with this registry for over a decade and has decided over and over again that in order to have trust in the system, asking permission before the state takes a child’s private medical information is worth it and the right thing to do.

If Texas can’t afford to take the time or the money for a single checkbox at birth to make sure someone wants their child in the system, then this is a system we should abolish and not use. When we look at the time, money and resources Texas has already put into 2% of Texans getting an H1N1 vaccine and couple that with the fact that we are willing to spend well over $1000 to fully vaccinate a school age child, it is a complete waste of the legislature’s time to be squabbling over a few dollars per child to do the right thing with consent. “ – Dawn Richardson
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Simplest and Most Efficient Consent is at Provider Level

• The simplest method for obtaining consent would be to have the vaccine provider ask when the first shot they ever give to the child if the family would like to participate.

• The provider flags affirmative consent in the record, and software sorts and only sends vaccine records for a consented child.

• Health department assumes if a record comes in, it is consented - Payers do nothing.

• Done – Two steps, nothing else needed.
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Registry Necessity Under Question

• Limited health care dollars
• Questionable effect on childhood vaccination rates
• Inappropriate function of state government to be a watchdog over providers and patients
• State honors vaccine choice, registry doesn’t (all or nothing system)
• Time and money should be spent on access and education, and not reporting
• Ethical issues
Recommendations for Increased Adult Support of ImmTrac

• Maintain opt-in informed consent
• Legislative protections needed where vaccination status or lack of registry participation is not used punitively against
  – Insurance coverage
  – Employment
  – Vocational training eligibility etc.
• Emergency powers language in the Health and Safety code needs to be amended to include conscientious and medical exemptions in times of emergency (Health and Safety Code Sec 81.009)